	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/763,005	KIRBY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Russell Kallis	1638
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Russell Kallis</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kathleen Rigaut</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 12 and 22		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER A proposed Examiner's Amendment was discussed to bring the cl		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the i	n summary of the substance nterview, since the interview
Runcel Kalla		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)